



1/4/99

1/4/99

# Purification of STNFR from Transfectant Hela Supernatant

We received three batches of transfectant Hela supernatant that Nancy generated at the Bioprocessing Center. We need to assess the quantity of STNFR in the supt. and then purify it via affinity column chromatography. The column will consist of the 1D3 B1 monoclonal antibody conjugated to Pharmacia's CNBr-activated Sepharose 4 Fast Flow.

## A) ELISA to Quantify STNFR

### Standard STNFR ELISA:

- (1) Coat wells w/ 2 µg/ml goat α hSTNFR Ab in 0.1M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> - 37°C/1hr. 100 µl/well
  - (2) Block wells with 200 µl of 2% BSA in PBS - 37°C/1hr.
  - (3) Dilute Culture Supt in Complete MEM - Incubate 37°C/1hr.
  - (4) Add 100 µl of 2 µg/ml goat α hSTNFR (B) Ab diluted in 0.1% BSA in PBS/Quen - Incubate 37°C/1hr.
  - (5) Add 100 µl of ~~1:20,000~~ <sup>1:20,000</sup> dilution of streptavidin Alkaline phosphatase (stock at 0.5 mg/ml) - Incubate 37°C/1hr.
  - (6) Develop at R-T. with 100 µl of PNPP to appropriate signal.
- \* All washes between steps are 3x with PBS/Quen and 2x with PBS after SA-AP step.

	1	2	3	4	5
A	HC →		1/2	1/4	1/8
B	X →		1/2	1/4	1/8
C	10 →		1/2	1/4	1/8
D	5 →				
E	2.5 →				
F	1.25 →				
G	1/2	A 1/4			
H	A 1/8	Blank			

Regression line of standard curve:

$$y = 9.0077e^{-2} + 0.55495 \log x \quad r^2 = 0.989$$

Conc. by Dilution (ng/ml)

Batch	1/2	1/4	1/8	mean conc.
A	90	71	100	87 ng/ml
B	<del>30</del>	<del>32</del>	84	94 ng/ml
C	36	34	32	36 ng/ml

## B) Coupling ID3 B1 Antibody to CNBr-Activated Sepharose

### (1) Resin Specifications:

4-5 ml drained medium / g of gel  $\rightarrow$  use 3g

Coupling efficiency = 13-26 mg / ml of resin  $\rightarrow$  use 5 mg/ml of resin

$\therefore$  couple 60-75 mg of Ab

Suspend pre-activated gel in <sup>10-15 volumes</sup> 1M HCl for 30 minutes + allow to swell  $\rightarrow$  used 225 ml in a beaker

(2) Using a <sup>25 ml</sup> Buchner funnel on a side-arm flask, wash the swelled resin with 15 gel volumes (i.e. 225 ml) of cold 1M HCl

(3) Transfer beads to a 50 ml conical tube + spin in Clinical Centrifuge on setting 3 for 5 minutes. Remove as much supt. as possible

(4) Add ID3 B1 Ab, which was previously dialyzed against 0.1M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> pH 8.3 and supplemented to 0.5M NaCl at a final concentration of 4 mg/ml, and resuspend the beads.

$\rightarrow$  Resin at this point  $\approx$  12 ml

$\therefore$  added 6.7 ml of Ab ( $\sim$  60 mg)

Incubate o/n at 4°C on rotating platform

(5) The next day, centrifuge as above and remove as much supt. as possible - Save 1 ml of supt and read A<sub>280</sub> to determine coupling efficiency.

Starting material = 4 mg/ml  $\times$  1.5 = 13.5 O.D. units  
extinction coeff.

do a 1/50 dilution of starting Ab material + post-coupling supernatant - read A<sub>280</sub>

predicted O.D. = 13.5 / 50 = 0.27

wl 280.0  
Factor 0.666

Abs Result  
UG/ML

Coupling Buffer  $\rightarrow$  0.0005 0.0004  
Blank  $\rightarrow$  0.0049 -0.0033  
Post-couple supt.  $\rightarrow$

\* It appears that most of the Ab was bound

Produced at  
Bioprocessing  
Center -  
Purified via  
Protein A

(6) After coupling, wash the beads in 30ml of 1M ethanolanine (centrifuge + discard supt) and then resuspend the coupled beads in 30ml of 1M ethanolanine and rotate for 2 hours at RT to block remaining reactive sites.

(7) Wash the coupled beads <sup>alternating</sup> 8 times with 2 x 30ml each of 50mM Tris pH 8 with 1M NaCl and 50mM glycine pH 4 with 1M NaCl.

note: Pharmacia recommends glycine at pH 3.5. However due to the low affinity of B3 B1, we do not want to drop the pH too much so that the Ab remains uncoupled.

(8) Wash the resin with 10 gel volumes (~150ml) of PBS.

(9) Transfer beads to the column - bed volume  $\approx$  12ml.

### c) Loading the Column

(1) Adjust the pH of the batch B<sup>1</sup> supt to pH 9 using 1M  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$  (mono) <sup>(6L)</sup>   
 (2) The B<sup>1</sup> supt. (batch B) was filtered through a filter pad from Mark's Minery. Added additional  $\text{NaH}_2$  (even though Nancy had added azide previously) to 0.02%.

(3) Load column using a peristaltic pump (borrowed from Orme's lab). Material was loaded into the top of the column - no problems with bed becoming compacted.   
 Load time - 5pm Friday ('18)  $\rightarrow$  11am Sunday ('19)   
 Average load = 2.3ml/min.

note: Column had run dry by 11am - unclear how long it sat dry, but when fluid was added, the column began to drip within 30sec suggesting that the resin was still wet.

### d) Washing the Column + Eluting the SMFR

+ followed the procedure of Boyert et al. J. of Immunol 1994 152: 5868

(1) Wash the column once with 15ml of PBS - this volume was sufficient to remove all the phenol red in the culture supt from the column.

12) Wash the column sequentially with 0.5M NaCl + 50mM Tris pH 8, pH 9, pH 10 ~15ml each or until the O.D. is 0. Collected 2ml fractions

note: no O.D. in the ~~first~~ <sup>last</sup> 5 fractions with pH 8 buffer  
fraction 1 = 0.0250 mg/ml fraction 2 = 0.0133 mg/ml  
no O.D. in any fractions of pH 9 wash

\* Elected not to do the pH 10 wash.

13) Elute column with 15ml of 0.1M glycine pH 2.8. Collect 1ml fractions - neutralize the eluate by adding 50µl of 1M Tris pH 9 to the collection tube.

→ Read the O.D. of the first 15 fractions:

Sample ID w1 280.0  
Factor 1.000

	Fraction #	Abs	Result µg/ml
1		0.0006	0.0006
2	1	-0.0063	-0.0063
3	2	-0.0057	-0.0057
4	3	-0.0070	-0.0070
5	4	-0.0079	-0.0079
6	5	0.0425	0.0425
7	6	-0.0088	-0.0088
8	7	-0.0096	-0.0096
9	8	-0.0088	-0.0088
10	9	-0.0067	-0.0067
11	10	-0.0015	-0.0015
12	11	-0.0016	-0.0016
13	12	0.0040	0.0040
14	13	0.0157	0.0157
15	14	0.0257	0.0257
16	15	0.0246	0.0246

\* Obviously, the protein is not eluting until fraction 13 -

Problem: After collecting fraction #15, I added PBS to the column to re-equilibrate it. Therefore, it is likely that ~5-bml of glycine containing our protein continued to elute and was collected in the waste beaker. Collected this material, filtered it, and saved it to assay for SNAK by ELISA.

Went ahead and added an additional 15ml of 0.1M glycine pH 2.8 and collected 1ml fractions. Read the A<sub>280</sub> of these also (see opposite)



Sample ID

vl 280.0

Factor 1.000

	<u>Fraction #</u>	Abs	Result MG/ML
1		0.0007	0.0007
2	16	0.0272	0.0272 ✓
3	17	0.0445	0.0445 ✓
4	18	0.0408	0.0408 ✓
5	19	0.0463	0.0463 ✓
6	20	0.0304	0.0304 ✓
7	21	0.0199	0.0199 ✓
8	22	0.0157	0.0157 ✓
9	23	0.0091	0.0091
10	24	0.0174	0.0174 ✓
11	25	0.0165	0.0165 ✓
12	26	0.0072	0.0072
13	27	0.0650	0.0650 ✓
14	28	0.0014	0.0014
15	29	0.0556	0.0556 ✓
16	30	0.0017	0.0017

Protein did continue to elute. If the extinction coefficient of this STNR is like that of the proteolytically derived STNR (i.e. 1.5 - see page 97 Book #3), the amount of STNR ~~high~~ recovered is:

$$0.4878 \text{ mg/ml} / 1.5 = 0.3252 \text{ mg}$$

E) ELISA to Confirm Identity of Purified Protein

+ Standard STNR ELISA - see pg. 5

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
A	PRE COLUMN 1/2	1/4	1/8	PEAC 21 1/500	→	ELUTION SLOW-UP 1/200	→
B	POST COLUMN 1/2	1/4	1/8	PEAC 22 1/500	→	PEAC 23 1/500	→
C	PEAC 5 1/500	→	PEAC 18 1/500	PEAC 23 1/500	→	PEAC 24 1/500	→
D	PEAC 13 1/500	→	PEAC 19 1/500	PEAC 24 1/500	→	PEAC 25 1/500	→
E	PEAC 14 1/500	→	PEAC 19 1/500	PEAC 25 1/500	→	PEAC 27 1/500	→
F	PEAC 15 1/500	→	PEAC 20 1/500	PEAC 29 1/500	→	PEAC 30 1/500	→
G	PEAC 16 1/500	→	PEAC 20 1/500	PEAC 29 1/500	→	PEAC 30 1/500	→
H	PEAC 17 1/500	→	PEAC 20 1/500	PEAC 29 1/500	→	PEAC 30 1/500	→

None of the fractions contain detectable STNR. The pre-column and post-column supt. give the same signal - obviously the STNR never bound. The "elution slow-up" could have contained STNR from washing the column prior to the elution (it).

2/17/99



2/17 - ELISAS of ID3 B1 Binding to STNFR (As Capture + detection on goat  $\alpha$  hSTNFR I)

We want to confirm that ID3 B1 does bind to STNFR now that we have the goat  $\alpha$  hSTNFR I Ab with which we originally screened the hybridomas.

goat  $\alpha$  hSTNFR I Ab + biotinylation version at 2  $\mu$ g/ml  
ID3 B1 Ab (As Capture or detection) at 8  $\mu$ g/ml

goat  $\alpha$  mouse Ig-AP at 2  $\mu$ g/ml

rabbit  $\alpha$  goat Ig-AP at 2  $\mu$ g/ml

PNPP C(1-6) — F(1-6) = 5 min. The rest of the wells went o/n.  
A6 = 15 min.

CAPTURE Ab						2° Ab Capture Ab +			
	#1 10/14/98 6.7 $\mu$ g/ml	#2 10/14/98 9 $\mu$ g/ml	#3 11/11/98 3 $\mu$ g/ml	#4 7/20/98 0.8 $\mu$ g/ml	#5 11/11/98 0.25 $\mu$ g/ml	Goat ID3 B1	ID3 B1 2° + goat $\alpha$ mouse Ig-AP		
2° Ab	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
↓ DMSO + Biotin	A	Nela					Nela ID3 #1	Nela ID3 #3	Nela ID3 #5
	B	DMSO					DMSO	DMSO	DMSO
DMSO + labeled $\alpha$ goat-AP	C	Nela					Nela ID3 #2	Nela ID3 #4	Nela goat mouse Ig-AP
	D	DMSO					DMSO	DMSO	DMSO goat mouse Ig-AP
labeled $\alpha$ goat-AP	E	Nela							
	F	DMSO							

Results: Did not read plate.

\* rabbit  $\alpha$  goat  
cross-reacts  
with ID3 B1  
capture Ab.

The wells in C(1-6) and F(1-6) came up immediately with <sup>PNPP</sup>our purification of ID3 giving ~2x the signal with the CBC prep, but all were (+) with Nela and DMSO alone. Ab was positive (~0.5) with the DMSO blank (-).

None of the wells with the ID3 capture or detection came up within 5-6 hours. After 6h incubation, the only well that appeared significantly above background was A9 (with our most recent purification of ID3 (11/11/98)). The rest gave signals (relative to background) comparable to those of the 2/15 ELISA. The goat  $\alpha$  mouse Ig-AP 2° Ab did cross-react slightly with the goat capture - slightly (+) after 6h.

3/25-30/99

# 3/25 Screen J77A.1 Clones for STNFI Production by ELISA

## Procedure

- 1) Coat w/ 1  $\mu$ g/ml (100  $\mu$ l/well) of  $\alpha$ -mSTNFI-Ab 1R4D (systems lot. ADF01 (same as prev's previous batch) - received 3/25/97)
- 2) Block w/ 2% BSA in PBS - 200  $\mu$ l/well
- 3) Add 100  $\mu$ l of test culture supt. or mSTNFI (in mon) standard
- 4) Add 1  $\mu$ g/ml (100  $\mu$ l/well) 2<sup>o</sup>,  $\alpha$ -mSTNFI - Biotin Ab
- 5) Add 1:5000 dilution (100  $\mu$ l/well) of SA-AP (stock at 0.5 mg/ml)
- 6) Add pNPP - 100  $\mu$ l/well  $\rightarrow$  stop w/ 100  $\mu$ l/well 5% EDTA  
 $\hookrightarrow$  15 min R.T.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
50 cells/plate	A <sup>3</sup> A2	A <sup>2</sup> A4	A <sup>1</sup> A10	1-Day <sup>3</sup> B2	B <sup>1</sup> B4	B <sup>1</sup> B5	B <sup>1</sup> B12	C <sup>1</sup> C1	C <sup>2</sup> C3	C <sup>1+</sup> C4	C <sup>3</sup> C6	D <sup>2</sup> D6
	D <sup>3</sup> D7	E <sup>3</sup> E3	E <sup>2</sup> E6	E <sup>1</sup> E7	E <sup>2</sup> E9	E <sup>1</sup> E10	E <sup>3</sup> E12	F <sup>2</sup> F1	F <sup>3+</sup> F5	F <sup>1</sup> F12	G <sup>3</sup> G1	G <sup>2</sup> G2
	G <sup>1+</sup> G5	G <sup>2</sup> G7	G <sup>1+</sup> G8	G <sup>1/2</sup> G9	H <sup>3</sup> H7	Blank	J77A.1 supt 1/2	mon	J77A.1 supt 1/4	mSTNFI 10 ng/ml	1-Day <sup>3</sup> B2	
40 cells/plate	D <sup>1</sup> A2	A <sup>1</sup> A3	A <sup>2</sup> A8	A <sup>2</sup> A10	A <sup>2+</sup> A11	B <sup>3</sup> B1	B <sup>2+</sup> B6	B <sup>2</sup> B8	C <sup>2+</sup> C2	C <sup>1/2</sup> C7	D <sup>3</sup> D8	E <sup>3</sup> E2
	E <sup>1+</sup> E4	E <sup>1+</sup> E5	F <sup>1/2</sup> F1	F <sup>1/2</sup> F2	F <sup>1</sup> F7	F <sup>1+</sup> F10	G <sup>1+</sup> G2	G <sup>2</sup> G8	H <sup>1/2</sup> H5	H <sup>1/2</sup> H9	H <sup>1</sup> H11	H <sup>1</sup> H12
	mSTNFI 0.089 ng/ml											
G	(A) - well got 1, BSA Block, & substrate only - Blanked 1/4											
H	Machine on 4/10 = 0.126 raw data.											

Growth stage in red  $\rightarrow$  1 = little growth 3 = near confluence

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
A	0.415	0.473	0.494	0.533	0.449	0.478	0.455	0.517	0.495	0.465	0.437	0.704
B	0.725	0.519	0.495	0.585	0.567	0.473	0.515	0.455	0.536	0.511	0.564	0.501
C	0.757	0.543	0.494	0.457	0.557	0.600	0.760	0.647	0.584	0.596	0.554	0.508
D	0.461	0.473	0.525	0.537	0.461	0.507	0.570	0.469	0.463	0.515	0.530	0.548
E	0.448	0.354	0.448	0.463	0.466	0.479	0.456	0.530	0.470	0.466	0.438	0.481
F	0.485	0.465	0.450	0.479	0.471	0.394	0.368	0.434				

Although some wells show an enhanced signal over the "mon" Blank, there is obviously non-specific binding of the 2<sup>o</sup> (biotinylated) Ab and/or SA-AP.

Pass the clones that show "stage 2" growth to a 48-well dish, and those that show "stage 3" growth to a 24-well dish.

ELISA

3/29 - Repeat of STNPRE ELISA of JMAA.1 Clones

Assay -

Same as opposite EXCEPT: used avidin - alkaline phosphatase (at 1:5000) rather than streptavidin - AP. We thought the problem with the last assay was the enzyme, so we wanted to try a different conjugate. Did 1 well with tmem + 2° Ab + SA-AP for comparison. PNPP = ~10 min at R.T.

Systems  
125I/44)  
in tmem)  
Ab  
0.5 ng/ml)  
1/6 EDTA

12
3 2
D6
3 2
G2
1/2 1/2
4 day B2
3 3
E2
1 1
H12

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
A	A2	A4	A10	B2	B4	B5	B12	C1	C3	C4	C6	D6
B	D7	E3	E6	E7	E9	E10	E12	F1	F5	F12	G1	G2
C	G5	G7	G8	G9	H7	JMAA.1 neat	112	1/4	avidin tmem BSA 1:190 50 alone	SA-AP alone tmem	SA-AP alone tmem	SA-AP alone tmem
D	A2	A3	A8	A10	AM	B1	B6	C2	C7	D8	E2	B8
E	E4	E5	F1	F2	F7	F10	G2	G8	H5	H9	H11	H12
F	recombinant murine STNPRE 10 ng/ml	5	2.5	1.25	0.625	0.3125	0.15625					

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
AA	0.500	0.500	0.484	0.755	0.577	0.455	0.709	0.433	0.488	0.547	0.400	0.427
BB	0.447	0.577	0.553	0.387	0.477	0.364	0.404	0.431	0.747	0.475	0.593	0.481
CC	0.444	0.733	0.457	0.487	0.455	1.017	0.606	0.547	0.744	0.435	0.984	0.400
DD	0.454	0.487	0.448	0.735	0.450	0.400	0.590	0.511	0.544	0.793	0.513	0.370
EE	0.709	0.444	0.540	0.475	0.408	0.487	0.574	0.724	0.559	0.400	0.400	0.427
FF	0.734	0.771	0.774	0.431	0.571	0.592	0.419					

\* Background with tmem + 2° Ab + SA-AP was not so high this time. Screened up controls, however - did not run a sample with SA-AP alone. All wells got 2° Ab by mistake. Therefore, this assay is uninterpretable.

The background with the SA-AP may be due to the presence of unreacted biotin in the 2° Ab prep (I'm not sure how you got rid of the free biotin after the reaction). Add 10 mM glycine pH 7.8 to the 2° Ab prep to inactivate any unreacted biotin.

RESCREEN WITH PROPER CONTROLS.

12  
0.709  
0.771  
0.774  
0.431  
0.571  
0.592  
0.419  
g  
24 wells dish

3/30

Same assay as pg 32 (w/ SA-AP)

PNPP incubation = 0.1N at 40°C + note: the SA-AP had some kind of precipitate in it. spun a small aliquot and used the supernatant at 1:500. Is some of the enzyme denatured?

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
A	A2	A4	A10	B2	B4	B5	B12	C1	C3	C4	C6	D6
B	D1	E3	E6	E7	E9	E10	E12	F1	F5	F12	G1	G2
C	G5	G7	G8	G9	H7	3MMA-1 neat (+)	3MMA-1 1/2 (+)	3MMA-1 no 2° SA-AP	DMEM 2° + SA-AP	DMEM no 2° SA-AP	0.1% BSA/ABS 2° + SA-AP	
D	A2	A3	A8	A10	A11	B1	B6	B8	C2	C7	D8	E2
E	E4	E5	F1	F2	F7	F10	G2	G8	H5	H9	H11	H12

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
A	0.083	0.175	0.377	0.447	0.421	0.303	0.509	0.711	0.357	0.267	0.232	0.385
B	0.325	0.332	0.237	0.043	0.573	0.137	0.509	0.711	0.401	0.303	0.435	0.245
C	0.298	0.493	0.562	0.049	0.257	0.477	0.298	0.298	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
D	0.083	0.089	0.444	0.869	0.109	0.173	0.368	0.316	0.275	0.200	0.312	0.171
E	0.061	0.481	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.073	0.313	0.365	0.015	0.015	0.168	0.010

Blanked on C9 = DMEM + 2° Ab + SA-AP (raw data = 0.852)

\* It appears as though the background in the ELISA is coming from the dialyzed 2° Ab. Perhaps you did not get rid of all of the unreacted hist. She said she dialyzed it, but we're not sure of the effective dilution.

Passed the positives (>0.5 ABS) to 6cm dishes. Passed the putative negatives (no signal) to a 24 well dish (they were all in 96 well plates).

→ will do a titration assay to try to eliminate the background and then rescreen the clones from above.